



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
8

9 ROBERT HAMPTON, an individual,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 NUSTAR MANAGEMENT FINANCIAL  
13 GROUP, *et al.*,

14 Defendants.

2:05-CV-824-BES-GWF

**ORDER**

15 Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate  
16 Judge (#28) ("Recommendation") entered on January 7, 2008, in which the Magistrate Judge  
17 recommends that this Court enter an order dismissing this action for want of prosecution  
18 pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41. The Court has also considered Plaintiff's Objections to  
19 Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations (Doc #29) filed on January 23, 2008.  
20 Defendants filed their Response to Plaintiff's Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and  
21 Recommendation (Doc #30) on February 7, 2008.

22 The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the record in this case, considering fully  
23 all relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule IB 3-2.  
24 After a review and determination in accordance with the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 636 and  
25 applicable case law, and good cause appearing,

26 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and  
27 Recommendation (#28) entered on January 7, 2008, is adopted and accepted without  
28 modification. Thus, in accordance with the Report and Recommendation,

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Enter Discovery Plan and  
2 Scheduling Order (Doc #22) is DENIED.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED this action be DISMISSED for want of prosecution  
4 pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41. The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

5 DATED: This 13<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2008.  
6  
7  
8

9   
10 \_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28